

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-----oo0oo-----

WORDTECH SYSTEMS, INC., a
California corporation,

No. 2:04-cv-1971-MCE-PAN

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

INTEGRATED NETWORK SOLUTIONS,
INC., a Nevada corporation,
dba INTEGRATED NETWORK
SOLUTIONS, CORP. aka
INTEGRATED NETWORK SOLUTIONS
aka INTEGRATED SYSTEMS aka
INTEGRATED NETWORK STORAGE
COMPANY aka INSC; NASSER
KHATEMI, an individual; HAMID
ASSADIAN, an individual;
EHTERAM GHODSIAN, an
individual; SHOHREH JAVADI, an
individual; MICHAEL F.
ELLSWORTH, an individual;
BRIAN J. DEAN, an individual;
SAN JUAN UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT; and DOES 1-50,

Defendants.

-----oo0oo-----

Plaintiff Wordtech Systems, Inc. ("Wordtech") has filed a
Motion to Extend Discovery Cut-off in this matter, which is

1 currently set to expire on January 20, 2006. According to
2 Wordtech, the Integrated Network Solutions Defendants, which
3 include both Integrated Networks and Defendants Khatemi and
4 Assadian (hereinafter "INSC Defendants"), have failed to respond
5 to previous discovery efforts in a forthcoming manner, thereby
6 necessitating an extension in order to complete the discovery
7 process. No previous extension of the discovery cut-off has been
8 sought.

9 The INSC Defendants, in response, indicate that they do not
10 oppose an extension of discovery by at least sixty (60) days,
11 stating that Wordtech has also failed to fully cooperate with
12 their discovery requests. In addition, Co-Defendant and Cross-
13 Claimant San Juan Unified School District has filed a joinder to
14 Wordtech's continuance request on grounds that additional time to
15 complete discovery is indeed necessary.

16 Given the non-opposition to Wordtech's request, and good
17 cause appearing therefor, the Motion to Extend Discovery is
18 GRANTED.¹ Discovery shall be completed not later than March 20,
19 2006. Consistent with that extension, the deadlines for expert
20 designation and the hearing of dispositive motions are also
21 continued to May 19, 2006 and June 19, 2006, respectively. The
22 August 14, 2006 date for the Final Pretrial Conference, as well
23 as the September 20, 2006 trial date, remain unchanged pursuant
24 //
25 //

27 ¹Because oral argument would not be of material assistance,
28 this matter was deemed suitable for decision without oral
argument. E.D. Local Rule 78-230(h).

1 to the Court's Amended Pretrial (Status) Scheduling Order of July
2 15, 2005. No further extensions will be granted.

3

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5

6 DATED: January 10, 2006

7
8
9
10 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28